

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN:  
NEW YORK; NEW JERSEY;  
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT;  
U.S. COURTS OF APPEALS FOR THE  
SECOND AND THIRD CIRCUITS;  
U.S. DISTRICT COURTS FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS,  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY,  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA,  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS,  
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT, AND  
NORTHERN, SOUTHERN & EASTERN  
DISTRICTS OF NEW YORK; U.S.  
COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE;  
U.S. COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS.

**JAMES M. MALONEY**  
**ATTORNEY AT LAW**  
**PROCTOR IN ADMIRALTY**



**P.O. Box 551**  
**33 BAYVIEW AVENUE**  
**PORT WASHINGTON, NY 11050**

**TEL: (516) 767-1395**  
**FAX: (516) 708-1395**

**E-MAIL ADDRESS:**  
**maritimelaw@nyu.edu**

February 6, 2018

The Honorable Pamela K. Chen  
United States District Judge, E.D.N.Y.

**Via ECF**

Re: *Maloney v. Singas*, CV-03-0786  
Defendant's anticipated application for extension of continued trial

Dear Judge Chen:

As you know, I am the *pro se* plaintiff in the above-captioned matter. Yesterday I spoke with opposing counsel, Liora Ben-Sorek, Esq., who has indicated that she will be requesting additional time to complete the investigation, discovery and preparation for the continued trial. (At a telephone conference held September 27, 2017, it was agreed after calendar review that the trial would be continued starting June 4, 2018.)

On January 31, 2018, the due date for doing so, I provided supplemental disclosures to the defendant. When I called yesterday to follow up, receipt of same was acknowledged but it was made clear that a reciprocal document would not be forthcoming any time soon. At that time I advised Ms. Ben-Sorek that I take no position regarding her seeking an extension. In truth, my objection would be to defendant's opportunity to rebut a presumption that I treated as my burden at considerable expense. That point being moot, I shall trust the Court to do whatever is appropriate to achieve justice, and will abide by its further orders.

Respectfully,

/s Jim Maloney